metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Katrine Qvortrup,^a Christine J. McKenzie^b and Andrew D. Bond^b*

^aUniversity of Copenhagen, Department of Chemistry, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark, and ^bUniversity of Southern Denmark, Department of Physics and Chemistry, Campusvej 55, 5230 Odense, Denmark

Correspondence e-mail: adb@chem.sdu.dk

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 180 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.007 Å Disorder in solvent or counterion R factor = 0.043 wR factor = 0.117 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.5

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. In the title compound, $[Ru(C_{10}H_8N_2)(C_{15}H_{11}N_3)(H_2O)]$ -(ClO₄)₂, two pairs of hydrogen bonds between the coordinated water molecules and perchlorate anions link the complexes into discrete {[(bipy)(terpy)Ru2O)](ClO₄)₂}₂ units (bipy = 2,2'-bipyridine and terpy = 2,2':6',2''-terpyridine).

ruthenium(II) bis(perchlorate)

Aqua(2,2'-bipyridine)(2,2':6',2"-terpyridine)-

Received 27 March 2007 Accepted 29 March 2007

Comment

Complexes of Ru^{II} with polypyridyl ligands are of interest on account of their photophysical and photochemical properties (Kalyanasundaram, 1982; Juris *et al.*, 1988). Like others (Yang *et al.*, 2005), we have used the cationic complex [(bipy)(terpy)- $Ru(H_2O)$]²⁺ (bipy = 2,2'-bipyridine and terpy = 2,2':6',2''terpyridine) as the starting point for the preparation of more complex functional systems, and we report here the crystal structure of its perchlorate salt, (I).



The coordination geometry about Ru^{II} (Fig. 1 and Table 1) is distorted from octahedral on account of the limited bite angles of the polypyridyl ligands. The geometry of the complex is closely comparable to that of the reported PF_6^- salt (Yang *et al.*, 2005). One of the perchlorate anions is disordered over two orientations. In (I), the coordinated water molecules form hydrogen bonds to O atoms of the perchlorate anions (Table 2), generating discrete {[(bipy)(terpy)Ru(H₂O)]-(ClO₄)₂]₂ units (Fig. 2).

Experimental

Compound (I) was prepared according to a literature procedure (Takeuchi *et al.*, 1984). 0.05 g (0.07 mmol) of (I) was dissolved in THF/2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (2:1, 6 ml) and heated to boiling point. The solution was cooled to room temperature and NaClO₄ (0.05 g, 0.35 mmol) was added as a saturated aqueous solution (1 ml). Crystals of (I) were obtained by slow evaporation on standing under ambient conditions.

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2007 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I), with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level for non-H atoms. The two components of the disordered perchlorate anion are shown with solid and open bonds, respectively, and the O atoms are labelled for only one component.



Figure 2

A discrete {[(bipy)(terpy)Ru(H₂O)](ClO₄)₂}₂ unit formed through O- $H \cdots O$ hydrogen bonds (dashed lines). H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted.

Crystal data

 $[Ru(C_{10}H_8N_2)(C_{15}H_{11}N_3)-(H_2O)](CIO_4)_2$ $M_r = 707.44$ Orthorhombic, *Pbca* a = 15.1483 (8) Å b = 10.8842 (5) Å c = 32.5725 (15) Å

Data collection

Bruker Nonius X8 APEXII CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Sheldrick, 2003) *T*_{min} = 0.807, *T*_{max} = 0.959

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$ $wR(F^2) = 0.117$ S = 1.035070 reflections 376 parameters $V = 5370.5 \text{ (4) } \text{\AA}^{3}$ Z = 8Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 0.85 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 180 (2) K $0.30 \times 0.05 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

48758 measured reflections 5070 independent reflections 3234 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.079$

20 restraints H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.79 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.66 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table 1	l
---------	---

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Ru1-N1	2.063 (4)	Ru1-N4	2.009 (4)
Ru1-N2	1.957 (4)	Ru1-N5	2.069 (4)
Ru1-N3	2.083 (4)	Ru1-O1	2.146 (3)
N1 = Ru1 = N2	79.35 (15)	$N^2 = Ru1 = O1$	88 02 (14)
N1-Ru1-N2 N1-Ru1-N3	158.70 (15)	$N_2 = Ru1 = 01$ $N_3 = Ru1 = N_4$	93.71 (14)
N1-Ru1-N4	92.21 (14)	N3-Ru1-N5	99.30 (14)
N1-Ru1-N5	101.93 (15)	N3-Ru1-O1	87.87 (13)
N1-Ru1-O1	88.28 (13)	N4-Ru1-N5	78.70 (15)
N2-Ru1-N3	79.59 (15)	N4-Ru1-O1	174.22 (14)
N2-Ru1-N4	97.73 (15)	N5-Ru1-O1	95.57 (14)
N2-Ru1-N5	176.21 (15)		

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{D1} - \mathbf{H1} \cdots \mathbf{O1}C \\ \mathbf{D1} - \mathbf{H2} \cdots \mathbf{O1}D^{\mathbf{i}} \end{array}$	0.85 0.85	1.89 1.89	2.717 (5) 2.737 (5)	166 175

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1.

H atoms bound to C atoms were positioned geometrically, with C-H = 0.95 Å, and allowed to ride during subsequent refinement with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. H atoms of the water molecule were visible in difference Fourier maps. Prior to refinement, the O-H distances were normalized to 0.85 Å, and the H atoms were allowed to ride on O1 with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$. One perchlorate anion (involved in $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonding) is well ordered, while the second displays rotational disorder and is modelled as two components of equal site occupancy, with isotropic displacement parameters for the O atoms. The Cl-O distances in this anion were restrained to a common value [refined to 1.441 (3) Å] with a standard uncertainty of 0.01 Å, and the O \cdots O distances were restrained to 1.633 times that value with a standard uncertainty of 0.02 Å.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker–Nonius, 2004); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2000); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

We are grateful to the Danish Natural Science Research Council (SNF) and Carlsbergfondet for provision of the X-ray equipment.

References

- Bruker (2000). SHELXTL. Version 6.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2003). SAINT. Version 7.06a. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker–Nonius (2004). APEX2. Version 1.0-22. Bruker–Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Juris, A., Balzani, V., Barigelletti, F., Campagna, S., Belser, P. & von Zelewsky, A. (1988). Coord. Chem. Rev. 84, 85–277.
- Kalyanasundaram, K. (1982). Coord. Chem. Rev. 46, 159-244.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2003). SADABS. Version 2.10. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Takeuchi, K. J., Thompson, M. S., Pipes, D. W. & Meyer, T. J. (1984). Inorg. Chem. 23, 1845–1851.
- Yang, X.-J., Drepper, F., Wu, B., Sun, W.-H., Haehnel, W. & Janiak, C. (2005). Dalton Trans. pp. 256–267.